

CHATTISHAM & HINTLESHAM PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES of the Parish Council Meeting held via Zoom on
On 8th April 2021 at 7.30pm

PRESENT:

David Marsh (Chair)	Chris Leney
Les Cole	Peter Eaton
Diane Chase	Jamie Bostock
Stephanie Coupland	Ben Cox
John Whyman	Ian Bryce
Roy Carter	

APOLOGIES: none were received

IN ATTENDANCE:

County Cllr Christopher Hudson
David Busby
Tamsin Pearce (Clerk)
6 members of the public

The Chair Announced that the meeting is being recorded and welcomed everyone and reminded the members of the public they can only speak in the Public Section of the meeting.

CHPC163/20- TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS HELD 11th March 2021

The minutes of the meetings held on 11th March 2021 had been circulated to all councillors and were proposed as a true record by John Whyman and seconded by Diane Chase. The minutes will be signed by David Marsh at the next face to face meeting.

CHPC164/20 – DECLARATION OF INTEREST

There none received.

CHPC165/20 – MATTERS ARISING FROM MINUTES DATED 11th March 2021

No matters to report.

CHPC166/20 – COUNTY COUNCILLOR & DISTRICT COUNCILLOR'S REPORTS

Cllr Hudson confirmed that he had attended a meeting regarding the Bramford – Twinstead proposal with National Grid, present at this meeting were Suffolk MP's Dan Poulter MP & James Cartlidge MP. It was agreed by all that an undersea route around the coast would be the most satisfactory method rather than pylons. If this is not feasible then undergrounding the route would be the ideal option. The issue of the proposed Solar Farms between Somersham and Bramford was also raised and the great concern that these were causing, it was strongly urged that the cumulative effect of these and the other energy infrastructure projects in the area be considered when determining these applications. Burstall Parish Council are to provide meeting notes.

Cllr Hudson updated the council on issues raised over the noise from the A14 due to poor road surface and traffic travelling on it. This is a matter which is being looked into and hopefully a timely solution will be forthcoming.

The Chairman raised the issue of the damaged manhole cover on Duke Street with Cllr Hudson. It was noted that this has been an issue for sometime and needs to be rectified as a matter of urgency. Cllr Hudson will chase this up again.

David Busby updated the council on plans for a cycle and walking programme. The scheme is to

improve footpaths and cycleways in the local area or indeed create new paths/cycleways. Mr Busby asked the council for their input requesting they make suggestions and comment on how this could be achieved. It was noted by a council member that some years ago the council had been asked for a very similar input report which had taken many weeks to prepare and then the scheme was dropped.

Mr Busby commented that the solar farms are now standing at 216 hectares of land in total. It is felt that such substantial development would have huge impact on the natural surrounding environment.

Mr Busby attended a meeting with National Highways Agency regarding the road layouts surrounding Copdock. It was suggested by National Highways UK that a filter road could be one possible solution or a flyover road over the A12 North/A14 East.

CHPC167/20 – COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Prior to members of the public being invited to comment the Chairman had to ask two members of the public to not speak out during the meeting. They continued to be disruptive and when asked if they had any comments they used foul language and it was felt they should be muted and removed from the zoom meeting.

Haydon updated the council on the new email addresses, confirming that he now has the addresses ready to go live and would be sending details out in the coming days. Haydon asked if all council members were happy for the new email addresses to be published on the website, council all agreed this was a good idea. The council thanked Haydon for all his hard work in setting this up.

When asked others members of the public stated that they'd joined the meeting to listen in relation to the planning application DC/21/01427 – Land to rear of Lilivan, Duke Street, Hintlesham as well as updates on the Bramford to Twinstead proposal.

It was noted that following a speed watch there had been three recorded with speeds of 35, 36 and another 35mph.

CHPC168/20 – PLANNING

Bramford – Twinstead – a joint meeting between National Grid and various Parish Council representatives took place to meet the project team ahead of non-statutory public consultation. It was raised at there seemed to be no clear idea on how many households had actually received the consultation paperwork from National Grid. National Grid responded stating there had been an issue with Royal Mail. The closing date for responses to the application is the 6th May 2021.

Parish Cllr Peter Eaton has drafted a response letter, which he read to the meeting. It was agreed that this was a well worked response and all councillor's agreed this letter should be sent to National Grid. A copy of the letter will also go in the village link. Peter Eaton left the meeting at 8:17pm.

DC/21/01427 Land Rear of Lilivan Duke Street Hintlesham – Change of use of agricultural land to equestrian use including siting of ancillary touring caravan (retention of) This is a retrospective planning application for change of use from agricultural to equestrian use with the siting of an ancillary touring caravan in addition. The Planning statement and the Validation Form,DEVF1 both contain inaccuracies. It should be noted that the applicant has utilised this land for equestrian use already for some time. The main concerns are that a) Footpath 41 runs through this site and horses being taken from the paddocks must use it to leave the site. It is illegal to ride a horse on a public

footpath. b) The stated number of horses to be kept on site exceeds the recommended number deemed suitable for the area of the site. c) The only official bridleway is in Chattisham 1 mile away, in order for riders to use this they must go along Duke Street and Clay Lane, the former has traffic volumes in excess of 10,000 vehicles per week the latter is narrow in places. Both these facts will mean that both horse and rider and motorists will be unnecessarily exposed to danger. d) There is concern that pollution of an existing water course to edge of the site may occur from horse waste not being efficiently and adequately cleared from site. Comments raised the need for a site inspection to be carried out. David Busby said he would look into when they'd be able to do this as under the Government's current Covid-19 rules groups of no more than 6 people can meet outside. A discussion was had about the visibility splays as it cannot be guaranteed by the applicant that these would be kept open and free providing adequate views of the road and oncoming traffic due to the fact that either side of the entrance are privately owned properties and not that of the applicant - A vote was held and it was unanimously agreed to object to the planning application.

DC/21/01447 Fairview, Duke Street Hintlesham- Change of agricultural field to domestic garden to serve Fairview - a discussion was held and Council do not have any objections.

DC/21/01494 California House, California Lane Hintlesham. Erection of side garage extension - a discussion was held and Council do not have any objections.

DC/21/01604 Phalmier, Duke Street Hintlesham. Erection of two storey front extension including a porch - a discussion was held and Council do not have any objections.

DC/21/01419 Dale View, Washbrook Road, Hintlesham. Siting of residential park home for ancillary use to the host dwelling (following removal of storage container) - a discussion was held and Council do not have any objections.

CHPC169/20 - BROADBAND PROBLEMS IN THE VILLAGES

Peter Eaton suggested to council that they hold off in raising issues with BT until June / July 2021 after the local elections when it would then be clear who was representing us on SCC.

CHPC170/20 – MAY AGM 2021

The video meeting rule previously set out runs out on the 6th May, however, Government rules state you can't hold indoor meetings until the 17th May. The Chairman suggested to council that they have two options, either hold a zoom meeting prior to the 6th May so as to still be within the permitted rules for video meetings or put the meeting back until after the 17th May. It was noted that they would need to book the large hall in order to conform to Covid social distancing rules if they did so. A discussion was held and the council all agreed to push the meeting back until the 20th May at 7:30pm and book the main hall at the community centre. Proposed by John Whyman and seconded by Debbie Archer.

CHPC171/20 – TO REVIEW THE DRAFT RECORDING OF MEETINGS POLICY

The Chairman informed council that the public have the right to video and/or record any parish council meeting. It was stated that at the beginning of a meeting members of the public should be asked to inform council if they wished to make a recording. Council agreed that as it had been sometime since the policy had been read by all members and that the policy should be re sent and put onto the agenda for 20th May 2021 to be discussed again.

CHPC172/20 – SIZEWELL C UPDATE ON IMPACT TO OUR LOCAL COMMUNITY

Nothing else to report

CHPC173/20 – ANPR Scheme for both villages

Les Cole updated the council on the ANPR cameras. It was stated that these cameras only record information (they record 24/7 not just during the day) and anyone caught speeding does not get a police fine. A letter is generated and sent out to any motorised caught to be speeding but this is just a warning letter and carries with it no legal fine. The Chairman asked the question to Les that if the cameras offer no speeding police fine what would be benefit be to the villages. Les responded that he hoped that the cameras would act as a deterrent and that if any one person was to be repeatedly caught then the hope would be that the police would then look into that motorist further. There is no cost associated with getting the ANPR cameras but there is no guarantee that we as a parish will be granted the cameras. The locations for the cameras was discussed should we get them and agreed that the Hintlesham camera should be located near the pony paddock on Duke Street and that the Chattisham camera location needed to be confirmed once Les had a look at the best place to position it.

CHPC174/20 – TREE AND HEDGE PLANTING

John Whyman requested that this item be moved and added to the next meeting agenda for discussion.

CHPC175/20 – FINANCE

The following payments were proposed by Chris Leney and seconded by Ian Bryce.

Suffolk Couny Council	Street Lighting Annual Fees	£305.73	Cheque No 101103
IT Services for CAS	Email domain addresses	£390.00	Cheque No101104
Mrs T Pearce	Clerks Salary & Exps	£157.65	Cheque No 101105

CHPC176/20 – REPORTS FROM PARISH COUNCILLORS

Diane Chase informed council that she had received a letter from a local resident stating that they intend to write a complaint to Babergh District Council in relation to Charity Farm, Chattisham. The complaint relating to the garage business running from the site, stating multiple vehicles always parked along the road, rubbish and noise 7 days a week. David Busby agreed that he would get someone to look into this.

Les Cole reported that he'd been approached by a member of the public that was very pleased with the VAS Sign at the Pony Paddocks, Duke Street and thanked the road speed watch team. He said that he would very much like to have an additional VAS sign outside his property. He said that if the council was in agreement he would be happy to get his company to fund all costs associated with the purchase and installation of the new sign. It was agreed by council that a quote would be obtained and that Les could then discuss this further with the member of public concerned.

John Whyman thanked the Chairman, David Marsh, for all his diligence and care in carrying out his role as Chairman over the years and wished him well.

Stephanie Coupland reported that footpath 44/45 have received some complains from walkers as signage has been put up along the route stating that there is no access. The new boardwalk on

footpath no 40 has been completed and is a big success, a great job by all involved.
The Chattisham village sign post is proving difficult to remove due to all the surrounding concrete. If they're unable to remove it, it maybe that the new post will have to be moved slightly in order to get it into the ground. Stephanie confirmed that if council agreed then the new parish council email addresses could be printed in the Village Directory, which would be printed in June and circulated in July.

David Marsh thanked Debbie Archer as she has been working hard as a Covid vaccinator over the last few weeks.

Roy Carter thanked David Marsh for all his hard work during his time as Chairman.

Chris Leany updated council on recent accidents occurring in Hintlesham. It was noted that a fatal accident has sadly happened near Priory road, involving a three vehicles one of which was a moped. A short discussion ensued as to whether 30mph signs could be moved further out along the roads at either end off the 1071 entering Hintlesham.

CHPC177/20 – CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

All correspondence has been circulated via email

CHPC178/20 –ITEMS FOR THE NEXT AGENDA

Broadband

Tree and Hedge Planning

Recording Of Meetings Draft Policy

CHPC179/20 – DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Thursday 20th May 2021 7.30pm

Meeting closed at 9.18 pm